Election Results of Current and Past Representatives

R: George Radanovich (1994-2010)

179245 (98.43%)

R: Jeff Denham (2010-2012)

128394 (64.6%) 69912 (35.2%)

D: Zoe Lofgren (2012-present)

59313 (27%) 162300 (73%)

41900 (33%) 85888 (67%)

64061 (26%) 181802 (74%)

57823 (26.2%) 162496 (73.8%)

*Redistricted in 2012
### Existing Literature on Immigration Policy Votes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wong (2017)</td>
<td>Republican identification and, to a lesser extent, <strong>smaller numbers of foreign-born</strong> population in any given area, are predictors of votes for restrictive policies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong (2014)</td>
<td>Republican partisanship consistently predicts support for strict interior enforcement bills, but <strong>greater percentages of Latinx or Asians in a population</strong> are negatively correlated with support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casellas &amp; Leal (2007)</td>
<td>In the House, <strong>partisanship of both the representative and their constituents</strong> is the strongest predictor of the MC’s vote.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramakrishnan &amp; Wong (2010)</td>
<td><strong>Large cities</strong> are more likely to pass pro-immigration ordinances than small cities. <strong>Partisanship</strong> is the second-largest factor.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Predictions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Ethnicity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>39.4%</td>
<td>Foreign-Born</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.6%</td>
<td>Native</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>White</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.2%</td>
<td>Asian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.8%</td>
<td>Latinx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>Republican</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73.8%</td>
<td>Democratic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Facts about San Jose

- **Population:** 945,942
  - 10th Largest City in US, 3rd Largest City in California

**Wong (2017):** The large foreign-born population in CA-19 will lead to Lofgren’s increased support for expansionist policies.

**Wong (2014):** Large percentages of Asian and Latinx people in Democratic CA-19 will mean little support for interior enforcement bills from Lofgren.

**Casellas & Leal (2007):** Lofgren and her constituency are both Democratic, implying that her votes will, as a whole, be largely pro-immigration.

**Ramakrishnan & Wong (2010):** San Jose is a large, Democratic city and will support pro-immigration ordinances.
Zoe Lofgren: Bill Sponsorship and Social Media

**Bill Sponsorship**
- 236 total bills (7th-most)
- 59 immigration bills (32%)
- Primary issue of interest

**Voting Record**
- Voted against party on some immigration bills; mostly consistent

**Twitter (1/19 – 3/19)**
- 5/15 tweets about immigration (33%)
- **Positive** towards some form of border security, 21 Savage
- **Negative** towards idea of wall, ICE, detention of children

**Campaign Website**
- Leadership, personal connection
- First of four issues (25%)
- Same amount of information, emphasis on bill sponsorship, lack of multimedia sources

**Official Website**
- Immigration is 1/9 issues (11%)
- **Positive** towards reform, DREAM Act, keeping families together, attracting “best and brightest”